## JEFFREY P. MORGAN



1760 PICKERING ROAD DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL PHOENIXVILLE, PA 19460

1(610) 983-0863
1(610) 792-0600

MAILTO: JEFF@HYVAC.COM

TUESDAY, APRIL 12, 2005

FCC Washington DC

RE: LPFM Licensing FCC-05 75 MM Docket No. 99-25

I write this for the inclusion for requested comments on the above issue.

I recently became interested in LPFM as a means to provide a valuable community service for the residents of a small town. I am modestly and intermittently involved with our local township going to the township meetings and am actually involved to a degree in community/public service at the same. In our community, we have a new public TV station that I never get to see because I have satellite service but we have no local radio. Local radio can be a valuable asset to the citizens of a small community providing untold services that are not available via either the local "TV" station or the larger media businesses primarily broadcasted out of Philadelphia. These stations while providing a valuable service at large, do not address the activities, concerns or desires of our local community.

I urge you to pass and allow for LPFM under whatever parameters technical and regulatory that you deem needed. An attitude of accommodation as opposed to one of confrontation would be better served. The cost for these LPFM stations is rather modest and better to co-opt legal minded citizens to this process than to alienate the masses at large and end up with more of a chaotic venue requiring more oversight and enforcement. The civil disobedient would be and are drawn to this simply "to do it". The result being the term "pirate radio". To not adopt a stance that would empower the legal minded citizen to this format for civil discourse is a disservice to what we believe of in America mainly freedom of speech and you have already heard that argument so I won't bore you.

LPFM allows many different voices to be heard both in programming and as an efficient means to get out information to the local population. I was thinking the other day of the potential benefits of a local station here in my own community and came up with so many ideas for both musical and verbal programming that I needed to sit down and start making up a rather large list. The large media companies do not have much of my list on their list and that says reams for what these media outlets have become, and are becoming, as they today service my area. The arguments they put forward are simply to protect what they see as "their market". Please cut through the interference of a bad argument. They are primarily interested in cash flow. That is foremost in their minds, not the true needs of my area.

No. of Copies rec'd List ABCDE

In closing as you drive home today and listen to your own car radio, if indeed you do, and listen to the mindless patter and bad programming choices think about what you could do to make it better, and here, here you have the opportunity to start a process to actually do that. Think of the endless possibilities of what **you** could do if you had a LPFM station to serve your local community, program for quality and the myriad of benefits that could be derived by the residents in that community for such a service.

Opening day of trout season is this weekend, anybody have a local stream report?

Sincerely Yours,

Jeffrey ₽ Morgan



C.R. Beverly 390 Rhea Lee Drive Paducah, KY 42001

April 12, 2005

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th St. SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear Commissioner Martin,

Subject: RE: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service -- Docket 96-45

Congratulations on your appointment to Chair the FCC. In your new leadership role, I urge you to support the "Fair Share Plan" as a solution to current concerns with the Universal Service Fund (USF). The Fair Share Plan will keep the USF fair, ensuring that consumers like me do not pay the same rate into the USF as big businesses, regardless of how little I may use long distance.

The Keep USF Fair Coalition submitted the Fair Share Plan to the FCC on January 31, 2005. It expands who pays into the USF so that other technologies - not just phones - pay into the system. The Fair Share Plan collects the USF using a combination numbers- and revenue-based plan. This keeps the system fair, equitable and non-discriminatory.

Under the flat fee or numbers-based plan you are considering, people like me who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. I believe it would be unfair to charge low-volume and residential customers the same fees as high-volume residential or business customers.

I urge you to keep the USF fair, and adopt the Fair Share Plan. Thank you, and best of luck in your new position.

Sincerely,

C.R. Beverly

No. of Copies rec'd CList ABCDE